





From the osteopathic perspective OMT in pediatrics begins at birth.....No, actually it begins during pregnancy.

From 1985 to1989 I worked with Viola M. Frymann, DO, FAAO, FCA at the Osteopathic Center for Children in La Jolla, California.

One day I asked how we could reduce the learning disabilities and neurological conditions we were seeing in our patients? She said, "treat the mothers during pregnancy."

Prenatal OMT has become a topic of retrospective research and 2 clinical trials, all supportive of the benefit of prenatal OMT.









# Frymann V. Relation of disturbances of craniosacral mechanism to symptomatology of the newborn:study of 1250 infants. *JAOA*. 1966;65:1059-1075. **Took 5 years to collect this data**.

Strain pattern of spheno- basilar         % of those         % of         % of those         % of         % of	Strain pattern of spheno- basilar symphysis	Analysis by dominant strain pattern		Asymptomatic		Jaundice (mongoloid)		Respiratory and circulatory symptoms		Nervous symptoms	
Flexion         124         10.04         68         7.83         1         14.3         11         7.28         44         21.05           Extension         51         4.13         31         3.58         1         14.3         9         5.96         10         4.78           Torsion         352         28.50         262         30.18         1         14.3         9         5.96         10         4.78           Side-bending rotation         150         12.15         111         12.79         1         14.3         16         10.60         22         10.53           Vertical/lateral strain         60         4.86         39         4.49         -         -         6         3.97         15         7.18           Compression         216         17.49         110         12.67         2         28.5         29         19.21         75         35.89           Free         282         22.83         247         28.46         1         14.3         25         16.56         9         4.31           Not recorded         15         6         1         14.3         25         16.56         9         4.31		No. of cases	% of those recorded	No. of cases	% of those recorded	No. of cases	% of those recorded	No. of cases	% of those recorded	No. of cases	% of those recorded
Extension         51         4.13         31         3.58         1         14.3         9         5.96         10         4.78           Torsion         352         28.50         262         30.18         1         14.3         55         36.42         34         16.27           rotation         150         12.15         111         12.79         1         14.3         16         10.60         22         10.53           Vertical/lateral strain         60         4.86         39         4.49         -         -         6         3.97         15         7.18           Compression         216         17.49         110         12.67         2         28.5         29         19.21         75         35.89           Free         282         22.83         247         28.46         1         14.3         25         16.56         9         4.31           Not recorded         15         6         1         14.3         25         16.56         9         4.31	Flexion	124	10.04	68	7.83	1	14.3	11	7.28	44	21.05
Torsion         352         28.50         262         30.18         1         14.3         55         36.42         34         16.27           Side-bending rotation         150         12.15         111         12.79         1         14.3         16         10.60         22         10.53           Vertical/lateral strain         60         4.86         39         4.49         -         -         6         3.97         15         7.18           Compression         216         17.49         110         12.67         2         28.5         29         19.21         75         35.89           Free         282         22.83         247         28.46         1         14.3         25         16.56         9         4.31           Not recorded         15         6         1         14.3         25         16.56         9         4.31	Extension	51	4.13	31	3.58	1	14.3	9	5.96	10	4.78
Side-bending rotation         150         12.15         111         12.79         1         14.3         16         10.60         22         10.53           vertical/lateral strain         60         4.86         39         4.49         -         -         6         3.97         15         7.18           Compression         216         17.49         110         12.67         2         28.5         29         19.21         75         35.69           Free         282         22.83         247         28.46         1         14.3         25         16.56         9         4.31           Not recorded         15         6         1         14.3         25         16.56         2	Torsion	352	28.50	262	30.18	1	14.3	55	36.42	34	16.27
Strain         60         4.86         39         4.49         -         -         6         3.97         15         7.18           Compression         216         17.49         110         12.67         2         28.5         29         19.21         75         35.89           Free         282         22.83         247         28.46         1         14.3         25         16.56         9         4.31           Not recorded         15         6         1         1         6         2	Side-bending rotation	150	12.15	111	12.79	1	14.3	16	10.60	22	10.53
Compression         216         17.49         110         12.67         2         28.5         29         19.21         75         35.89           Free         282         22.83         247         28.46         1         14.3         25         16.56         9         4.31           Not recorded         15         6         1         6         2	strain	60	4 86	39	4 49			6	3.97	15	7.18
Free         282         22.83         247         28.46         1         14.3         25         16.56         9         4.31           Not recorded         15         6         1         6         2	Compression	216	17.49	110	12.67	2	28.5	29	19.21	75	35.89
Not recorded 15 6 1 6 2	Free	282	22.83	247	28.46	1	14.3	25	16.56	9	4.31
	Not recorded	15		6		1		6		2	

**Overall 88%** of the 1250 newborns had some identifiable mal-alignment in the form of cranial bone strain patterns.



From the Dept of Neonatology and the Dysmorhology Clinic UCSD Medical Center

Stellwagen L et al. Torticollis, facial asymmetry and plagiocephaly in normal newborns. *Arch Dis Child* 2008;93:827-831.



Overall, 92% of babies were in the vertex position in utero, 73% were delivered vaginally, and 10% experienced birth trauma. Nearly all babies were described as active, although 36% were described as "stuck" or in the same position during the third trimester. Seventy-three percent of newborns had at least one asymmetry (10% had more than one). Torticollis measuring more than 15 degrees difference in mobility between right and left sides was present in 16% of infants and was most common among babies described as stuck for longer than 6 weeks. Forty-two percent of infants had facial asymmetry, 62% had asymmetry of the head, and 13% had mandible asymmetry. Facial asymmetry was associated with second stage of labor longer than 60 minutes, forceps delivery, birth trauma, and larger birth size.

Lessard S, Gagnon I, Trottier N. Exploring the impact of osteopathic treatment on cranial asymmetries associated with nonsynostotic plagiocephaly in infants. *Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice*. 2011;17:193-198.

Prevalence **nonsynostotic occipital plagiocephaly (NSOP)** skull deformity may occur in nearly 20% of healthy newborns.

Since the American Academy of Pediatrics, in an effort to reduce the incidence of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome, initiated the **"Back to sleep"** campaign in which parents place infants is a supine position for sleep, incidence of **NSOP has increased.** Conventional interventions for NSOP include counter-positioning, physical therapy, and cranial orthosis (helmet therapy).

#### Lessard et al 2011

**Twelve infants** referred to the Children's Hospital Trauma Program were the subjects in this pilot study.

**Inclusion** criteria were, 1) to be younger than 6.5 months at first evaluation, 2) to the diagnosis or the signs of NSOP, and 3) and to have been at term corrected age if born prematurely.

**Exclusion** criteria were 1) if there was a documented craniosynostosis, 2) an ongoing cranial orthosis treatment, or 3) any medical condition judged inappropriate by a physician. The average age of the sample at first OMTh was 4.1 months, 75% were male, 92% had right side head flattening (consistent with current prevalence data) and 83% were vaginal deliveries

#### Lessard et al 2011

#### Methods

Each patient received **four osteopathic treatments** of 60 minutes duration **once every two weeks**.

The 3 primary outcome measures used in the assessment of NSOP were the differences between the left side and right side measurements of 1) **Skull Base Asymmetry (SBA)** which is a line from tragus of the ear to the subnasal landmark under the nasal septum, 2)**Cranial Vault Asymmetry (CVA)** which is the distance between the frontozygomatic suture and eurion, the point most lateral on the head in the parietal region, and 3) **Trans-cranial vault asymmetry (TCVA)** which is the diameter frontozygomatic suture around the head to the occipital prominence or flatness.



Lessard et al. 2011
The results for all 3 of the primary outcomes measures showed statistical significance
CVA (F =5.20; p=0.02), SBA (F=5.72; p=0.01), TCVA (F=7.97; p=0.003)
Taken together this showed a significant reduction in skull asymmetry from pre-test to post-intervention, a period of 8 weeks on the average.
The authors note the obvious that, due to the small size and no control group, it cannot absolutely be inferred that the osteopathic intervention was the cause of improved cranial bone symmetry. In Europe the PT and osteopathic treatment of plagiocephaly has become so popular in the last 10 years that the helmet people express concern



Kluba S, Lypke J, Kraut W, Krimmel M, Haas-Lude K, Reinert S. Preclinical pathways to treatment in infants with positional cranial deformity. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2014;43:1171-76.

The "preclinical" (quotes due to the authors apparent perspective that everything prior to being seen in their clinic was preclinical even though visits to pediatricians, physical therapist, and osteopaths had already occurred).

In fact, if the child had been seen for physiotherapy/osteopathy, they appeared significantly later (P = 0.023). This implied criticism of physiotherapy and osteopathy is later discussed in the context of the need to **rule out craniosynostosis** by ultrasound examination, which is a service typically done only in oral maxillofacial surgery clinics.







	Entry Ima 02/11/1	ige 5	Exit Imag 07/01/15	je 5	
T	Proportiona	ality	Proportiona	ality	]
	Measureme	ents	Measureme	ents	1
1	Length (g-Op)	148 mm	Length (g-Op)	155 mm	
	Cephalic Index	84.5	Cephalic Index	83.2	
-	Standard Deviation	+1.0	Standard Deviation	$\pm 0.8$	
e j	Circumference	441 mm	Circumference	460 mm	
7.	Asymmet	ry ents	Asymmet Measuremo	ry ents	1
$\langle \rangle$	FzL-OcpR	141 mm	F2L-OcpR	147 mm	== 3 2 0 C
$\times$	FzR-OcpL	152 mm	FzR-OcpL	155 mm	
X	Sn-TL	94 mm	Sn-TL	95 mm	I III
	Sn-TR	91 mm	Sn-TR	$95~\mathrm{mm}$	The offer
	ExL-TL	61 mm	ExL-TL	60 mm	Ed a
n'art	ExR-TR	62 mm	ExR-TR	63 mm	Networ
27					×
-2	Cranial Vault Asym	11.0 mm	Cranial Vault Asym	8.0 mm	
	Asymmetry Index	7.8	Asymmetry Index	5.4	
	Cranial Base Asym	3.0 mm	Cranial Base Asym	0.0 mm	
	Midface Asym	1.0 mm	Midface Asym	3.0 mm	

8<sup>th</sup> Annual Early Childhood Mental Health Conference – We Can't Wait





8<sup>th</sup> Annual Early Childhood Mental Health Conference – We Can't Wait Mills MV, Henley CE, Barnes LLB, et al. The use of osteopathic manipulative treatment as adjuvant therapy in children with recurrent acute otitis media. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine.* 2003;157:861-866.

Steele KM, Kukulka G, Ilker CL. Effect of osteopathic manipulative treatment on childhood otitis media outcomes. Poster presented at the *American Osteopathic Association 102 Annual Meeting and Scientific Seminar* 1997 (Oct) grant # 94-12-400.

Steele KM, Carreiro JE, Viola JH, et al. Effect of osteopathic manipulative treatment on middle ear effusion following acute otitis media in young children: a pilot study. *J Amer Osteopath Accoc.* 2014;114(6): 436-447.

Degenhardt BF, Kuchera ML. Osteopathic evaluation and manipulative treatment in reducing the morbidity of otitis media: a pilot study. *J Amer Osteopath Assoc.* 2006;106:327-34.





Steele KM, Carreiro JE, Viola JH, et al. Effect of osteopathic manipulative treatment on middle ear effusion following acute otitis media in young children: a pilot study. *J Amer Osteopath Accoc.* **2014**;114(6): 436-447.

Compared standard care only (SCO) and standard care plus OMT (SC+OMT). Patients were aged 6 months to 2 years.

SC+OMT received OMT during 3 weekly visits. Weekly **tympanography** and **acoustic reflectometer (AR)** readings were made on all patients.

43 patients completed the study.

Results: Tympanogram data showed a statistically significant improvement in middle ear effusion (MEE) at visit 3 in patients in the SC+OMT group (P = .02).

AR data also significant at visit 3 for SC=OMT (P = .02)





8<sup>th</sup> Annual Early Childhood Mental Health Conference – We Can't Wait

### Frymann et al. 1992

## <u>Results</u>

Neurologic performance significantly improved after treatment with diagnosis of neurologic problems and to a lesser degree in children with medical or structural diagnoses.



- Group 1 74 average or above-average students without visual or learning problems who were treated.
- **Group 2** 32 average or above average students who had myopia, hyperopia, esophoria, and exophoria but no learning problems.
- Group 3 103 children who were having problems at school because they could not learn in the customary fashion by established standards.

Table 1. COMPLICATIONS IN BIRTH HISTORY									
GROUP:	1	2	1&2	3					
Total number of children	74	32	106	103					
Prolonged labor (12 hours or more)	10	2	12	34					
False or ineffectual labor followed by cesarean section	2	1	3	23					
Version or persistent posterior occipital presentation; manual									
dilation of cervix		-	-	4					
Deformity of head	4		4	25					
Neonatal difficulty other than jaundice	3	2	5	8					
Prematurity (2/52 or more)	6	2	8	16					
Postmaturity (2/52 or more)		-	-	9					
Neonatal jaundice				5					
Illness or ingestion of drugs by mother during pregnancy	3		3	23					
Number of children	23 (31,1%)	7 (21.9%)	30 (28.3%)	75 (72.8%)					

		Frym	iann 19	976			
Tabl	le 2. ACC	CIDENTS	SEARLY IN				
GROUP:	1	2		1&2	3		
No. of children	74	32	2	106 29 (27.4%)	103	and the second	
Accidents up to age 2	16(21.	6%) 1:	13 (40.6%)		31 (30.19	%)	
Accidents after	17 (23	(%) 8	3 (25%)	25 (23.6%)	65 (63.19	%)	
 age 3	able 3. INC	IDENCE OF	F CRANIOSACI	RAL LESIONS			1.411 1.53
age 3 Ta Group 3 (103 children learning probl	able 3. INCl	IDENCE OI G (32 child pr	F CRANIOSACI Group 2 fren with visual roblems)	RAL LESIONS Groups (106 child learning p	s 1 & 2 ren without problems)	Group (74 children wil or learning p	1 hout visual roblems)



<u>Conclusions (Cont.)</u> Frymann 1976
A wide range of strain patterns may be found in children with learning problems as well as in children who do not have a learning disability.
There is a critical period of susceptibility when strain patterns contribute to the learning disability –up to 2 years of age-this period is opportunity for optimum benefit from correction of such strains.





Davis MF, Worden K, Clawson D, et al. Confirmatory Factor Analysis in Osteopathic Medicine: Fascial and Spinal Motion Restrictions as Correlates of Muscle Spasticity in Children with Cerebral Palsy. *JAOA*. 2007;107:226-232.



Duncan B, McDonough-Means S. Worden K, et al	Hierarchical Regression Anal and Acupuncture on Outco in Spastic Cerebral Palsy F Number of Trea	Hierarchical Regression Analyses for the Effect of OMT and Acupuncture on Outcome Variables of Children in Spastic Cerebral Palsy Pilot Study, Adjusted for Number of Treatments (N=55)			
		Treatme	nt Modality, β*		
	Variable	OMT	Acupuncture		
Effectiveness of Osteopathy in	Primary Outcome Variables				
the Cranial Field and	GMFCS	27	31		
	GMFM total percent	.391	.24		
Myofascial Release Versus	PEDI mobility	.26	.20		
	PEDI self-care		.22		
Acupuncture as	WeeFIM mobility	.28†	.18		
Complementary Treatment for	WeeFIM self-care     Secondary Outcome Variables	.23	.17		
	DO rating of spasticity	- 44	- 24		
Children with Spastic Cerebral	MAS biceps	.09	.01		
Paley: A Pilot Study	MAS hamstring	13	.08		
Taisy. AT not Study.	Parent or guardian rating of arched back	10	0		
JAOA. 2008;108:559-570.	Parent or guardian rating of startle reflex	27	22		
	<ul> <li>* The β coefficient represents an estimatification of SD units of change variables held constant (ie, pretreatment), <i>P</i></li> <li>Abbreviations: DO, osteopathic physicial Classification System (GMFM, Gross More Modified Ashworth Scale; OMF, osteopathic physicial models)</li> <li>Mediatric Evaluation of Disability Invent Measure for Children.</li> </ul>	nte of the standa come measure, i in number of tr ent score, age, n m; <u>GMFCS, Gress</u> cor Function Me- athic manipulati ory; WeeFIM, Fu	Indized strength of the given as the number eatments, with other nonths from baseline setting the strength of the saurement; MAS, we treatment; PEDI, nctional Independence		